

**FISCAL YEAR 2003  
SOCIAL SERVICE APPLICATIONS  
FUNDING ALLOCATION METHODOLOGY – COMPETITIVE CATEGORY**

**FUNDING LIMITS:**

It was predetermined that all projects would be funded in accordance with the minimum and maximum funding limits detailed below.

<b>FUNDING LEVELS</b>	<b>CURRENT PROJECTS</b>	<b>NEW PROJECTS</b>
<b>MINIMUM</b>	<b>\$20,000</b>	<b>\$20,000</b>
<b>MAXIMUM</b>	<b>\$100,000</b>	<b>\$50,000</b>

**The following describes the process for determining funding allocations:**

1. Applications are separated into two categories: **current** (projects that were funded in FY 2002) and **new** (projects that were not funded in FY 2002).
2. Both categories of scored applications are listed in rank order from highest to lowest scores.
3. Based upon the range of scores and the limited amount of funds, it has been determined that **current** projects achieving a score of 80 points or more out of the maximum points available (100 points) would be recommended for funding. As a result, 47 applications in this category are recommended for funding. The applications are divided equally into three categories. Due to ties in scoring, sixteen (16) applications are placed into Category 1, fifteen (15) applications are placed into Category 2, and sixteen (16) applications are placed into Category 3.
4. Applications for **current** projects in Category 1 are recommended for the lesser amount of either 100% of the requested amount, or a 25% increase from FY02 amount allocated (minimum base of \$20,000). Applications in Category 2 are to be recommended for the lesser amount between 85% of their requested amount, or a 20% increase from FY02 amount allocated. Applications in Category 3 are to be recommended for the lesser amount between 70% of the requested amount, or a 15% increase from FY02 amount allocated. **(See table below.)**
5. Once **current** projects are assigned funding, the remaining funds are then assigned to **new** projects. Based upon the range of rank scores and the limited amount of funds, it has been determined that **new** projects achieving a score of 88.9 points or more out of the maximum points available (100 points) would be recommended for funding. As a result, 11 applications in this category are recommended for funding. The applications are divided into two categories. Five (5) applications are placed into Category 1 and six (6) applications are placed into Category 2.
6. Applications for **new** projects in Category 1 are recommended for 100% of the requested amount. The remaining funds available are divided among as many projects as possible in Category 2 until funds are depleted. **(See table below.)**
7. All projects, except one, are recommended for a minimum of \$20,000.
8. Adjustments to funding amounts were made when necessary to match the amount of Social Service funds available.
9. All allocations resulting from this process are recommendations and are subject to City Council adoption.

**The tables below detail the funding allocation breakdown for the Social Service applications.**

<b>CURRENTLY FUNDED</b>	
<b>RANK SCORE</b>	<b>FUND LEVEL</b>
Category 1	Lesser Amt: 100% Request, 25% Increase from FY02 (minimum of \$20,000 base amount)
Category 2	Lesser Amt: 85% Request, 20% Increase from FY02 (if calculated at less than \$20,000, then \$20,000 minimum limit to be recommended)
Category 3	Lesser Amt: 70% Request, 15% Increase from FY02 (if calculated at less than \$20,000, then \$20,000 minimum limit to be recommended)

<b>NEWLY FUNDED</b>	
<b>RANK SCORE</b>	<b>FUND LEVEL</b>
Category 1	100% Request Amount
Category 2	Equal division of remaining funds, but not falling below the \$20,000 minimum limit